



Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl20>

Investigation of Photoelectrical and Current Transient Behaviour of TIBr

V. Kažukauskas^a & A. Jurgilaitis^a

^a Semiconductor Physics Department, Institute of Materials Science and Applied Research of Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

Version of record first published: 31 Aug 2012.

To cite this article: V. Kažukauskas & A. Jurgilaitis (2008): Investigation of Photoelectrical and Current Transient Behaviour of TIBr, *Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals*, 485:1, 825-834

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15421400801918203>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages

whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Investigation of Photoelectrical and Current Transient Behaviour of TlBr

V. Kažukauskas and A. Jurgilaitis

Semiconductor Physics Department, Institute of Materials Science and Applied Research of Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

Photoelectrical and current transient properties of TlBr were investigated in the temperature region from -20 C up to $+20\text{ C}$, in which the effect of ionic conductivity changes significantly. The thermal activation energy value of conductivity of about 0.78 eV could stand for several mechanisms, e.g., electronic and ionic conductivity. From the spectral dependencies of photocurrent several defect-related maxima in the region between 1 and 2.5 eV were identified, being dependent on sample prehistory, i.e., its excitation by light and/or voltage. The transient photocurrent kinetics had demonstrated a complex behaviour that could be explained by the combined influence of electron generation/recombination processes superimposed upon the effect of ionic conductivity. The results indicate that ionic conductivity can take place also at -20 C , though its influence is less because of the thermally activated character.

Keywords: carrier transport; defects; electronic and ionic conductivity; TlBr

PACS: 72.20.-i; 72.20.Jv; 72.40.+w; 72.80.Jc

INTRODUCTION

Thallium bromide (TlBr) is an attractive and promising material for X- and γ -ray spectroscopy because of its wide bandgap (2.68 eV), high density (7.56 g/cm^3) and high atomic numbers (Tl: 81 and Br: 35). Such collection of the attractive properties ensures a high photon stopping efficiency. The pixellated TlBr gamma-rays detectors had demonstrated energy resolutions of 6.7 keV (5.5%) FWHM and 22.3 keV

Address correspondence to V. Kažukauskas, Department of Semiconductor Physics and Institute of Materials Science and Applied Research of Vilnius University, Saulėtekio 9, bldg. 3, LT-10222, Vilnius, Lithuania. E-mail: voidotas.kazukauskas@ff.vu.lt

(3.4%) FWHM for 122 and 662 keV gamma-rays, respectively [1]. Nevertheless one of the main problems still preventing it from the practical application is presence of the ionic conductivity, which sensitively depends on the temperature [2] and makes device characteristic unstable in time. It causes, e.g., degradation of spectroscopic performance because of the polarization phenomena if devices are operated at the room temperature [1].

SAMPLES AND EXPERIMENT

We had investigated photoelectrical and current transient properties of TlBr in the temperature region from -20 C up to $+20\text{ C}$, in which the effect of ionic conductivity changes significantly [2]. Samples with dimensions of about $4 \times 3 \times 1\text{ mm}^3$ were provided with the evaporated gold contacts. Experiments were carried out in a four-stage Peltier cooler cryostat from Baltic Scientific Instruments Co. It enabled cooling down the samples by about 50 C from the reference temperature of the water supply. To enable optical excitation of the sample, an optical window was mounted into the cryostat. The current flowing in the measurement circuit through the sample was measured by a digital electrometric voltammeter Keithley 6517A. To investigate the photoelectrical spectral dependencies optical excitation of the sample by monochromatic light from Leitz monochromator was used. The monochromator was updated to enable numerical control of the light wavelength and intensity. The temperature of the sample was controlled by a calibrated Si temperature sensor mounted into the cooler nearby the sample. Its resistivity was measured by a digital multimeter Fluke 45. All the data readout and experiment control were performed numerically from a PC via GPIB, RS-232 and LPT interfaces. In order to prevent the long-living sample polarization and its irreversible degradation, low applied electric fields of less than 150 V/cm were used. A number of experiments were carried out at the electric field strength over the sample as low as 3.8 V/cm (1.5 V). Nevertheless, because of the low signals and noise, series of measurements were repeated at 15, 30 and 60 V biases, giving the more informative results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Current – Voltage (IV) Characteristics

To test the contact properties, the IV curves were measured at different temperatures. The IV curves are presented in Figure 1. It can be seen that they were symmetrical and linear in all cases, evidencing




FIGURE 1 Examples of the IV curves of the TlBr sample in semi-logarithmic scaling at different temperatures as indicated on the Figure.

suitability of the used contacts. From these data we had evaluated sample resistance at 20 C being $R = 4.2 \times 10^{10} \Omega$ and its conductivity of about $(3-4) \times 10^{10} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$. Dependence of the conductivity on the temperature is presented in Figure 2. Characteristically this




FIGURE 2 Dependence of the sample conductivity on the reciprocal temperature.

dependence is not perfectly linear in Arrhenius scaling, evidencing faster drop of the resistance at higher temperatures. This could be associated with the energy distribution of the states, from which carriers are generated [3]. On the other hand this could be due to the simultaneous action of several conductivity mechanisms with different activation energies, e.g., electronic and ionic conductivity, with increasing influence of the latter effect at higher temperatures. The evaluation of the thermal activation energy of this dependence gave us the approximate value of 0.78 eV that is very close to the value of 0.8 eV obtained by impedance spectroscopy at low frequencies in [4].

Spectral Dependencies

To discriminate the defect level spectra we had investigated the photocurrent dependencies on the quantum energy of the exciting light. These dependencies at low and at the room temperatures are presented in Figure 3. At both temperatures a clear maximum is seen,




FIGURE 3 Photocurrent spectral dependencies at two different temperatures as indicated on the Figure. All the curves except 3 were measured starting from the low quantum energies. The measurement directions are indicated by the arrows. Curve 1 was obtained after relaxation of the sample in the dark for 20 hours without applied voltage. Meanwhile curves 2 and 3 were obtained after keeping the sample with applied 60 V voltage at the room temperature for 6 hours, afterwards cooling it down and scanning the spectra in different directions.

the increase of which starts at about 2.75 eV. This maximum is related with the band to band generation. A drop that takes place at the higher energy side could be associated with the increasing absorption and, as a consequence, growing influence of the surface recombination. Other features at the room temperature are masked by the high conductivity of the samples. The most interesting results relate to the defect bands appearing at low temperature in the region between 1 eV and 2.5 eV. It is necessary to note that these results were not always repeatable in details in subsequent measurements, but they had demonstrated qualitative coincidence. From the spectral dependencies of photocurrent several defect-related maxima in the region between 1 eV and 2.5 eV were identified, being dependent on sample prehistory. Maximum at about 1.2 eV used to appear if the spectra were scanned from the high to the low quantum energies. Meanwhile the height of the maximum at about 2.1–2.2 eV used to decrease if the sample was kept biased for several hours. In Figure 3 two different effects are seen. First of all, the not always reproducible fatigue effect could be introduced by applied voltage, that resulted in the reduction of the amplitude of the defect band with the maximum at about 2.1–2.2 eV. On the other hand if the spectra were scanned from the high energy side, this resulted in the appearance of the second band with the maximum located at about 1.2 eV. Similar complicated defect structure was also revealed by PL in [5]. The luminescence in the range 1.5–2.0 eV was observed under X-ray excitation and was ascribed to the diffusion-controlled recombination in [5], meanwhile PL at 1.1 eV was observed in the short-lived absorption spectra under pulsed electron beam excitation in [6] and it was explained to be due to the a hole trapped at cation vacancy ($Tl^{2+}Vc^{-}$). This centre can be created if hole is trapped by a pre-irradiation vacancy, as well as result from the radiation-induced Frenkel pair formation ($Tl^{2+}Vc^{-}$ and interstitial thallium atom Tl_i^0). Our results indicate that such vacancy can also be light-induced, even though the intensity of light, passing through the monochromator, is low. Therefore we had investigated transient effects under the light illumination and in the dark at different temperatures.

Transient Photocurrent Kinetics

Kinetics of photocurrent were measured at different temperatures upon the excitation of the sample by the light with the wavelength from maximum absorption region. In Figure 4 examples of such kinetics are shown, that represent most general and repeatable tendencies. The best expressed feature is presence of two different trends




FIGURE 4 Current transients measured at different temperatures as indicated on the Figure, after the onset of the light excitation corresponding to the photoconductivity maximum. Curves are shifted in time for the sake of convenience.

in the photocurrent kinetics. When the light is switched on, after the sharp current jump, current decrease takes place, which later on is replaced by its growth until the saturation is reached. All these processes are thermally activated. At 20 C current decrease was no longer observed experimentally. Nevertheless, as it was already mentioned, results were not repeatable in detail as it is demonstrated in Figure 5. In most cases, independent on the previous excitation, current kinetics demonstrated the mentioned minimum with very similar relaxation times. But, in few cases at low temperature we had observed well expressed current decrease with very long relaxation time of about 3000 s without its subsequent growth, as it is demonstrated by the curve in Figure 5 with nearby indicated relaxation time constant. This trace was observed after several days of relaxation of the sample at the room temperature without applied voltage. Nevertheless later we did not succeed in repeating such behaviour. Though the dashed curve in the same Figure, which was measured after the same relaxation time, also demonstrated significantly longer decay time, but its drop was not so well expressed as that of the black curve. It is necessary to note that both processes could be approximated well by the exponential dependencies with single characteristic time constants. Therefore, to evaluate thermal activation behaviour of these




FIGURE 5 Series of current transients measured at -20°C after the onset of the light excitation corresponding to the photoconductivity maximum. Curves are shifted in time for the sake of convenience.

two processes, we had calculated the averages of both characteristic time constants from many measurements. Results are presented in Figure 6. It can be seen that the averaged time constants of current decay and its following growth differ by about two orders of magnitude and, within the range of accuracy, have the same thermal activation energy values of about 0.24–0.27 eV. Therefore, it could be supposed that the total photocurrent kinetics could be caused either by two different competitive processes or by a single complex process. The first possibility is supported by the presence of two different current trends as described earlier. In that case the current decrease could be probably ascribed to the faster electronic process because of the trapping and/or recombination of light-generated carriers to the defect centres associated with potential inhomogeneities. This process could be similar to the known mechanism of the thermal quenching. The following current increase might be attributed to the growing ionic conductivity caused by Tl^{+} ions, as it was observed in [4]. Nevertheless the similar thermal activation energy values of both characteristic time constants indicate that probably they could be attributed to the single process. Similar non-monotonous behaviour is characteristic for the diffusion from a limited material source [3]. In such case the total number of extraneous atoms at a certain point is given by:

$$N = \frac{A}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-\frac{B}{t}\right). \quad (1)$$




FIGURE 6 Dependence of the averaged time constants τ_1 and τ_2 , describing current decrease and the following growth, respectively.

Here A and B are diffusion- and material-related constants. A model curve calculated according to Eq. (1) is presented in Figure 7. It can be seen that this curve qualitatively demonstrates inverse dependence




FIGURE 7 Model curve calculated according to Eq. (1), representing number of extraneous atoms diffusing from a limited source at a certain space point depending on time.

in time as compared to the experimental traces. Therefore, if one assumes that transport properties are given by carrier and/or ion mobility that are limited by a varying number of scattering centres, then at least qualitative coincidence could be obtained, if mobility is supposed to demonstrate the inverse proportionality to the number of scattering centres: $\mu = 1/N$. Similar kinetic effects, just less expressed, were also observed without light excitation. The signal was much smaller, and consequently, in many cases distorted by the already mentioned noise effects, especially at low temperatures. Therefore we could not make any definite quantitative evaluations. At 20°C temperature the already described current growth was observed after switching on the bias. Nevertheless at lower temperatures only current decrease took place without any indications of its further growth, at least within the experiment duration of up to 2×10^4 s. This is in contrast to the described behaviour observed with light excitation. The characteristic time constants were much longer as well. Notably that the very fast initial current drop with no kinetics and residual effects took place at the moments when the bias was switched off.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We had investigated photoelectrical and current transient properties of TlBr in the temperature region from -20°C up to $+20^\circ\text{C}$, in which the effect of ionic conductivity changes significantly. Samples were provided with the evaporated gold contacts. In order to prevent the long-living sample polarization, low applied electric fields of less than 150 V/cm were used. In this region the current-voltage dependencies were linear, and the sample conductivities at 20°C were about $(3-4) \times 10^{10} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$. The evaluated thermal activation energy value of conductivity of about 0.78 eV could stand for several mechanisms, e.g., electronic and ionic conductivity. From the spectral dependencies of photocurrent several defect-related maxima in the region between 1 and 2.5 eV were identified, being dependent on sample prehistory. Maximum at about 1.2 eV had appeared if the spectra were scanned from the high to the low quantum energies. Meanwhile the height of the maximum at about 2.1–2.2 eV used to decrease if the sample was kept biased for several hours. The transient photocurrent kinetics had demonstrated a complicated structure: when the light was switched on, the photocurrent, after its sharp initial jump, used to decrease demonstrating a minimum that again was followed by the current growth until the saturation was reached. Such complex behaviour could be explained either by the combined trapping and/or

or recombination of light-generated carriers to the defect centres associated with potential inhomogeneities, followed by the growing ionic conduction or by the variation in time of the ion diffusion-related scattering. The evaluated thermal activation energy of the time constants of both processes was found to be 0.24–0.27 eV. The obtained results indicate that ionic conductivity can take place also at -20 C , though its influence is less because of the thermally activated character. This is in contrast to the results proposed in [1], that in TlBr radiation detectors at -20 C no degradation was observed. Moreover our results indicate that even light excitation with low intensity from the maximum absorption band might be effective in capacitating ionic conductivity.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hitomi, K., Matsumoto, M., Muroi, O., Shoji, T., & Hirarate, Y. (2002). *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, 49, 2526.
- [2] Onodera, T., Hitomi, K., & Shoji, T. (2006). *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, 568, 433.
- [3] Pavlov, P. V. & Khohlov, A. V. (1985). *Solid State Physics*, High School: Moscow (in Russian).
- [4] Vaitkus, J., Banyys, J., Gostilo, V., Zatoloka, S., Mekys, A., Storasta, J., & Žindulis, A. (2005). *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, 546, 188.
- [5] Grigorjeva, L. & Millers, D. (2002). *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B*, 191, 131.
- [6] Grigorjeva, L. & Millers, D. (1998). *Electrochemical Society Proceedings*, 25, 438.